



TITLE:

# An inductive method for proving the transcendence of certain power series (Diophantine Problems and Analytic Number Theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Duverney, Daniel; Nishioka, Kumiko

---

CITATION:

Duverney, Daniel ...[et al]. An inductive method for proving the transcendence of certain power series (Diophantine Problems and Analytic Number Theory). 数理解析研究所講究録 2003, 1319: 40-50

ISSUE DATE:

2003-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/43048>

RIGHT:

# An inductive method for proving the transcendence of certain power series

Daniel Duverney  
Lille  
and  
Kumiko Nishioka  
Faculty of Economics  
Keio University

## 1 Introduction

If  $\alpha$  is an algebraic number, we denote by  $|\alpha|$  the maximum of the absolute values of the conjugates of  $\alpha$  and by  $\text{den}(\alpha)$  the least positive integer such that  $\text{den}(\alpha)\alpha$  is an algebraic integer, and we set  $\|\alpha\| = \max\{|\alpha|, \text{den}(\alpha)\}$ . Then for nonzero algebraic  $\alpha$ , we have the fundamental inequalities

$$|\alpha| \geq \|\alpha\|^{-2[\mathbf{Q}(\alpha):\mathbf{Q}]} \text{ and } \|\alpha^{-1}\| \leq \|\alpha\|^{2[\mathbf{Q}(\alpha):\mathbf{Q}]}$$

(cf. Lemma 2.10.2 in [12]).

Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field,  $O_K$  be the ring of integers in  $K$ . Let  $r$  and  $L$  be integers such that  $r \geq 2$  and  $L \geq 1$ . We consider the function:

$$\Phi_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_k(x^{r^k})}{F_k(x^{r^k})},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(x) &= a_{k1}x + a_{k2}x^2 + \dots + a_{kL}x^L \in K[x], \\ F_k(x) &= 1 + b_{k1}x + b_{k2}x^2 + \dots + b_{kL}x^L \in O_K[x], \\ \log \|a_{k\ell}\|, \log \|b_{k\ell}\| &= o(r^k), \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq L. \end{aligned}$$

The aim of this paper is to study the arithmetical nature of  $\Phi_0(\alpha)$  when  $\alpha \in K$ ,  $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ , and  $F_k(\alpha^{r^k}) \neq 0$  for every  $k \geq 0$ .

It should be noticed that in some cases  $\Phi_0(x)$  can be explicitly computed as a rational function. Specific examples are, with  $r=2$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2^k}}{1 - x^{2^{k+1}}} &= \frac{x}{1 - x}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^k x^{2^k}}{1 + x^{2^k}} &= \frac{x}{1 - x}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^k x^{2^k}}{x^{2^{k+1}} - x^{2^k} + 1} &= \frac{x}{x^2 + x + 1}.\end{aligned}$$

The first equality is due to Lucas [9]. The latter two equalities are proved in Duverney [4] but are evidently older. In the case where  $r=3$ , we have for example

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{3^k x^{3^k} (1 - x^{2 \cdot 3^k})}{x^{4 \cdot 3^k} + x^{2 \cdot 3^k} + 1} = \frac{x}{1 - x^2}.$$

This equality is proved in Duverney and Shiokawa [7]. Clearly for these examples,  $\Phi_0(\alpha) \in K$  if  $\alpha \in K$ .

Our main result will be the

**Transcendence Criterion.**  $\Phi_0(\alpha)$  is algebraic if and only if  $\Phi_0(x) \in K(x)$ .

The proof of Transcendence Criterion relies on Mahler's transcendence method, more precisely on the following result, which is a special case of a theorem of Loxton and van der Poorten [8] (cf. Theorem 2.9.1 in [12]).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field,  $r \geq 2$  be an integer,  $\{\Phi_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence in the ring of formal power series  $K[[x]]$  and  $\alpha \in K$  with  $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ . If the following three properties are satisfied, then  $\Phi_0(\alpha)$  is transcendental.

(I)  $\Phi_n(\alpha^{r^n}) = a_n \Phi_0(\alpha) + b_n$ ,  
where  $a_n, b_n \in K$ , and  $\log \|a_n\|, \log \|b_n\| = O(r^n)$ .

(II) If  $\Phi_n(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\ell}^{(n)} x^{\ell}$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\log \|\sigma_{\ell}^{(n)}\| \leq \varepsilon r^n (1 + \ell)$$

for any  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\ell \geq 0$ .

(III) Let  $\{s_\ell\}_{\ell \geq 0}$  be variables and

$$F(x; s) = F(x; \{s_\ell\}_{\ell \geq 0}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} s_\ell x^\ell,$$

in such a way that

$$F(x; \sigma^{(n)}) = F(x; \{\sigma_\ell^{(n)}\}_{\ell \geq 0}) = \Phi_n(x).$$

Then for any polynomials  $P_0(x, s), \dots, P_d(x, s) \in K[x, \{s_\ell\}_{\ell \geq 0}]$  and

$$E(x, s) = \sum_{j=0}^d P_j(x, s) F(x; s)^j,$$

there is a positive integer  $I$  with the following property: if  $n$  is sufficiently large and  $P_0(x, \sigma^{(n)}), \dots, P_d(x, \sigma^{(n)})$  are not all zero, then  $\text{ord } E(x, \sigma^{(n)}) \leq I$ , where  $\text{ord}$  denotes the zero order at 0.

However, applying Theorem 1 to  $\Phi_0(x)$  will not be an easy task, because of condition (III). Thus the second section will be devoted to the proof of Theorem 2, in which condition (III) will be replaced by a simpler one, namely, some kind of irrationality measure of the function  $\Phi_0(x)$ . The tool in this section is an inductive method developed in Duverney [5]. By introducing low-order Padé-approximants of the functions  $\Phi_n(x)$  connected to  $\Phi_0(x)$ , we will arrive to Transcendence Criterion.

## 2 An inductive method

**Theorem 2.** Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field,  $r$  and  $L$  be integers such that  $r \geq 2$  and  $L \geq 1$ , and

$$S = \Phi_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_k(x^{r^k})}{F_k(x^{r^k})},$$

$$E_k(x) = a_{k1}x + a_{k2}x^2 + \dots + a_{kL}x^L \in K[x],$$

$$F_k(x) = 1 + b_{k1}x + b_{k2}x^2 + \dots + b_{kL}x^L \in K[x].$$

Suppose that there is a positive constant  $c_1$  such that for any polynomials  $A_0, A_1 \in K[x]$ , not both zero, satisfying  $\deg A_0, \deg A_1 \leq M$ ,

$$\text{ord}(A_0 + A_1S) \leq c_1M. \quad (1)$$

Then for any positive integer  $d$  there is a positive constant  $c_d$  such that for any polynomials  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d \in K[x]$ , not all zero, satisfying  $\deg A_i \leq M, 0 \leq i \leq d$ ,

$$\text{ord}(A_0 + A_1S + \dots + A_dS^d) \leq c_dM. \quad (2)$$

**Proof.** Let

$$\Phi_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{n+k}(x^{r^k})}{F_{n+k}(x^{r^k})},$$

$$R_n = \Phi_n(x^{r^n}),$$

$$T_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{E_k(x^{r^k})}{F_k(x^{r^k})}.$$

Then  $S = T_n + R_n$ . We prove (2) by induction on  $d$ . If  $d = 1$ , (2) is the same as (1). Suppose that for a given  $d \geq 2$ , we have

$$\text{ord}(B_0 + B_1S + \dots + B_{d-1}S^{d-1}) \leq c_{d-1}M, \quad (3)$$

for every  $B_0, \dots, B_{d-1} \in K[x]$ , not all zero,  $\deg B_i \leq M, 0 \leq i \leq d-1$ . We may assume  $c_{d-1} \geq 1$  and  $A_d \neq 0$ . Let  $e = dL$ . For every  $n > 0$ , there exist  $Q_n(x) \in K[x]$  with  $Q_n(x) \neq 0$ , and  $P_{n1}(x), \dots, P_{nd}(x) \in K[x]$  such that

$$\deg Q_n \leq de, \quad \deg P_{ni} \leq de, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d,$$

$$Q_n(x)\Phi_n(x)^i - P_{ni}(x) = x^{de+e+1}G_{ni}(x), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \quad (4)$$

where

$$G_{ni}(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} g_{ni\ell}x^\ell \in K[[x]].$$

For this we choose  $Q_n(x)$  in such a way that the terms of degrees  $de + 1, \dots, de + e$  vanish in the Taylor expansion of  $Q_n(x)\Phi_n(x)^i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . We only have to solve a linear homogeneous system which has  $de$  equations and  $de + 1$  unknowns.

**Lemma 1.**  $\text{ord } G_{n1}(x) \leq \gamma$ , where  $\gamma = c_1(de + L) - (de + e + 1)$ .

**Proof.** In (4) replacing  $x$  by  $x^{r^n}$ , we have

$$Q_n(x^{r^n})(S - T_n) - P_{n1}(x^{r^n}) = x^{(de+e+1)r^n} G_{n1}(x^{r^n}).$$

Multiplying both sides by  $D_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} F_k(x^{r^k})$ , we have

$$D_n Q_n(x^{r^n})S - Q_n(x^{r^n})D_n T_n - D_n P_{n1}(x^{r^n}) = x^{(de+e+1)r^n} D_n G_{n1}(x^{r^n}).$$

Since  $\deg D_n, \deg D_n T_n \leq Lr^n$ ,

$$\deg D_n Q_n(x^{r^n}), \deg(Q_n(x^{r^n})D_n T_n + D_n P_{n1}(x^{r^n})) \leq (L + de)r^n.$$

By (1) we have

$$\text{ord } G_{n1}(x^{r^n}) \leq (c_1(de + L) - (de + e + 1))r^n,$$

which implies the lemma.

We define  $P_{n0}(x) = Q_n(x)$ ,  $G_{n0}(x) = 0$ . In (4) replacing  $x$  by  $x^{r^n}$ , we obtain for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$Q_n(x^{r^n})(S - T_n)^i - P_{ni}(x^{r^n}) = x^{(de+e+1)r^n} G_{ni}(x^{r^n}). \quad (5)$$

We develop  $(S - T_n)^i$  and write the equality (5) in matricial form. Then we get

$$Q_n(x^{r^n})\mathcal{M}_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ S \\ \vdots \\ S^d \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} P_{n0}(x^{r^n}) \\ P_{n1}(x^{r^n}) \\ \vdots \\ P_{nd}(x^{r^n}) \end{pmatrix} = x^{(de+e+1)r^n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ G_{n1}(x^{r^n}) \\ \vdots \\ G_{nd}(x^{r^n}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -T_n & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ T_n^2 & -2T_n & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ (-1)^d T_n^d & (-1)^{d-1} \binom{d}{1} T_n^{d-1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In [5] it is shown that

$$\mathcal{M}_n^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ T_n & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ T_n^2 & 2T_n & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ T_n^d & \binom{d}{1} T_n^{d-1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $D_n^d \mathcal{M}_n^{-1}$  has its elements in  $K[x]$ . Multiplying (6) on the left by  $\mathcal{M}_n^{-1}$ , we get

$$Q_n(x^{r^n}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ S \\ \vdots \\ S^d \end{pmatrix} - \mathcal{M}_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P_{n0}(x^{r^n}) \\ P_{n1}(x^{r^n}) \\ \vdots \\ P_{nd}(x^{r^n}) \end{pmatrix} = x^{(de+e+1)r^n} \mathcal{M}_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ G_{n1}(x^{r^n}) \\ \vdots \\ G_{nd}(x^{r^n}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

Multiplying (7) on the left by the row matrix  $D_n^d(A_0, \dots, A_d)$  we obtain

$$U_n \left( \sum_{h=0}^d A_h S^h \right) - V_n = x^{(de+e+1)r^n} H_n, \quad (8)$$

where

$$U_n = D_n^d Q_n(x^{r^n}) \in K[x],$$

$$V_n = (A_0, \dots, A_d) D_n^d \mathcal{M}_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} P_{n0}(x^{r^n}) \\ P_{n1}(x^{r^n}) \\ \vdots \\ P_{nd}(x^{r^n}) \end{pmatrix} \in K[x],$$

$$H_n = (A_0, \dots, A_d) D_n^d \mathcal{M}_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ G_{n1}(x^{r^n}) \\ \vdots \\ G_{nd}(x^{r^n}) \end{pmatrix} \in K[[x]].$$

Let  $n$  be the positive integer such that

$$r^{n-1} \leq c_{d-1} M < r^n. \quad (9)$$

Then, as  $e = dL$  and  $c_{d-1} \geq 1$ ,

$$\deg V_n \leq M + dLr^n + der^n < (de + e + 1)r^n. \quad (10)$$

Let  $m$  be the least integer such that  $(0, g_{n1m}, \dots, g_{ndm}) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 1,  $m \leq \gamma$ . Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g_{n1m} \\ \vdots \\ g_{ndm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ g_{nim} \\ \vdots \\ g_{ndm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_{nim} \neq 0.$$

Then under mod  $x^{(m+1)r^n}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_n &\equiv D_n^d(A_0, \dots, A_d) \mathcal{M}_n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ g_{nim} x^{mr^n} \\ \vdots \\ g_{ndm} x^{mr^n} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv D_n^d(A_0, \dots, A_d) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \binom{d-1}{i} T_n^{d-i-1} & \dots & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \binom{d}{i} T_n^{d-i} & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{nim} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ g_{ndm} \end{pmatrix} x^{mr^n} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv D_n^d(A_0, \dots, A_d) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \binom{d-1}{i} S^{d-i-1} & \dots & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \binom{d}{i} S^{d-i} & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{nim} \\ \vdots \\ g_{ndm} \end{pmatrix} x^{mr^n} \\
&\equiv D_n^d(B_0 + B_1 S + \dots + B_{d-i} S^{d-i}) x^{mr^n},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $B_0, \dots, B_{d-i} \in K[x]$  and

$$B_{d-i} = A_d \binom{d}{i} g_{nim} \neq 0, \quad \deg B_h \leq M, \quad 0 \leq h \leq d-i.$$

Since  $\text{ord } D_n = 0$ , by (3), (9) we obtain

$$\text{ord} \left( D_n^d(B_0 + B_1 S + \dots + B_{d-i} S^{d-i}) x^{mr^n} \right) \leq c_{d-1} M + mr^n < (1+m)r^n.$$

Hence  $H_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{x^{(m+1)r^n}}$ . Suppose that  $V_n \neq 0$ . By (10) we get

$$\text{ord } V_n < (de + e + 1)r^n.$$

Therefore by (8), (9) we obtain

$$\text{ord} \left( \sum_{h=0}^d A_h S^h \right) < (de + e + 1)r^n \leq (de + e + 1)rc_{d-1}M.$$

If  $V_n = 0$ , by (8), (9) we obtain

$$\text{ord} \left( \sum_{h=0}^d A_h S^h \right) < (de + e + 1)r^n + (m+1)r^n \leq (de + e + 2 + \gamma)rc_{d-1}M.$$

Letting  $c_d = (de + e + 2 + \gamma)rc_{d-1}$ , we obtain (2).

### Examples involving Fibonacci and Lucas numbers

Let  $\alpha = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$  and  $\beta = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ . Then  $n$ th Fibonacci number  $F_n$  and  $n$ th Lucas number  $L_n$  are written as

$$F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} = \frac{\alpha^n - (-1)^n \alpha^{-n}}{\alpha - \beta},$$

$$L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n = \alpha^n + (-1)^n \alpha^{-n}.$$

Let  $\{a_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  and  $\{b_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  be sequences in  $K$  and  $O_K$  respectively. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{F_{2^k} + b_k} = (\beta - \alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k \alpha^{2^k}}{1 + (\beta - \alpha) b_k \alpha^{2^k} - (\alpha^{2^k})^2}, \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{L_{2^k} + b_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k \alpha^{2^k}}{1 + b_k \alpha^{2^k} + (\alpha^{2^k})^2}. \quad (12)$$

Mignotte [11] proved that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! F_{2^k}}$  is transcendental by using Schmidt's theorem on approximations of an algebraic number by algebraic numbers. Later Mahler [10] proved it without using Schmidt's theorem and Loxton and van der Poorten [8] generalized Mahler's method. Becker and Töpfer [1] and Nishioka [13] studied the arithmetical nature of the series (11) and (12) when  $b_k = 0$  for every  $k$ ,  $\{a_k\}$  is a periodic sequence and a linear recurrence sequence of algebraic numbers respectively. Duverney, Kanoko and Tanaka [5] studied the case  $b_k = b$  for every  $k$  and  $\{a_k\}$  is a linear recurrence sequence of algebraic numbers.

We have the following.

**Theorem 3.** Assume there exist infinitely many  $k$  such that  $a_k \neq 0$ , and that  $\log \|a_k\|, \log \|b_k\| = o(2^k)$ . Let

$$\Phi_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k x^{2^k}}{1 + (\beta - \alpha) b_k x^{2^k} - x^{2^{k+1}}}.$$

If  $\Phi_0(x) \in K(x)$ , then there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in K$  such that  $b_k = 0$  and  $a_k = a$  for every  $k \geq N$ .

In particular,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{F_{2^k} + b_k}$  is algebraic if and only if  $a_k = a$  and  $b_k = 0$  for every  $k \geq N$ .

**Theorem 4.** Assume that there exist infinitely many  $k$  such that  $a_k \neq 0$ , and  $\log \|a_k\|, \log \|b_k\| = o(2^k)$ . Let

$$\Phi_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k x^{2^k}}{1 + b_k x^{2^k} + x^{2^{k+1}}}.$$

If  $\Phi_0(x) \in K(x)$ , then one of the following two conditions is satisfied.

- (i) There exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in K$  such that  $b_k = 2$  and  $a_k = a4^k$  for every  $k \geq N$ .
- (ii) There exist a constant  $a, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ , and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b_k = 2 \cos \left( 2^k \cdot \frac{p}{q} \pi \right)$ ,  $a_k = a2^k \sin \left( 2^k \cdot \frac{p}{q} \pi \right)$  for every  $k \geq N$ .

In particular,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{L_{2^k} + b_k}$  is algebraic if and only if (i) or (ii) holds.

**Corollary.** Assume that there exist infinitely many  $k$  such that  $a_k \neq 0$ , and  $\log \|a_k\|, \log \|b_k\| = o(2^k)$ . If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{L_{2^k} + b_k}$  is algebraic, then  $\{b_k\}$  is eventually periodic,  $|b_k| \leq 2$  and  $a_{k+1} = 2a_k b_k$  for every large  $k$ .

**Example .** Under the assumptions of Theorem 11,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{L_{2^k}}$  is transcendental.

Moreover if  $|b_k| > 2$  for infinitely many  $k$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{L_{2^k} + b_k}$  is transcendental.

## References

- [1] P.-G. Becker and T. Töpfer, Transcendence results for sums of reciprocals of linear recurrences, *Math. Nachr.* 168(1994), 5-12.
- [2] P.S. Bruckman and I.J. Good, A generalization of a series of de Morgan, with applications of Fibonacci type, *Fibonacci Quart.* 14(1976), 193-196.
- [3] D. Duverney, *Théorie des Nombres*, Dunod, Paris, 1998.
- [4] D. Duverney, Irrationality of fast converging series of rational numbers, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 8(2001), 275-316.
- [5] D. Duverney, Transcendence of a fast converging series of rational numbers, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 130(2001), 193-207.

- [6] D. Duverney, T. Kanoko and T. Tanaka, Transcendence of certain reciprocal sums of linear recurrences, to appear in *Mh. Math.*.
- [7] D. Duverney and I. Shiokawa, On series involving Fibonacci and Lucas numbers I, preprint.
- [8] J.H. Loxton and A.J. van der Poorten, Arithmetic properties of certain functions in several variables III, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 16(1977), 15-47.
- [9] E. Lucas, Théorie des fonctions numériques simplement périodiques, *Amer. J. Math.* 1(1878), 185-240 and 289-321.
- [10] K. Mahler, On the transcendency of the solutions of a special class of functional equations, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 13(1975), 389-410.
- [11] M. Mignotte, Quelques problèmes d'effectivité en théorie des nombres, DSc Thèses, L'Université de Paris XIII, 1974.
- [12] K. Nishioka, Mahler Functions and Transcendence, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1631, Springer, 1996.
- [13] K. Nishioka, Algebraic independence of reciprocal sums of binary recurrences, *Mh. Math.* 123(1997), 135-148.

Daniel Duverney  
 Appartement 3501  
 13, rue de Roubaix  
 59800 Lille  
 France  
 e-mail: dduverney@nordnet.fr

Kumiko Nishioka  
 Mathematics, Hiyoshi Campus  
 Keio University  
 4-1-1 Hiyoshi, Kouhoku-ku  
 Yokohama 223-8521  
 Japan  
 e-mail: kumi-nis@jcom.home.ne.jp